SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
<b>SD</b> – SD/PF/TM/1 <b>UDP</b> – Paragraph 8.6 <b>IR</b> – Policy Framework paragraphs 8.1-8.2 Page 108	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	<b>Decision</b> : Accepted <b>Reasons</b> : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	
<b>SD</b> – SD/PF/TM/2 <b>UDP</b> - Paragraph 8.6a <b>IR</b> – Policy Framework paragraphs 8.3 - 8.4 Page 108	I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows; - Paragraph 8.6a - delete and replace with the paragraph as drafted on page 8 of the published proposed changes dated January 2003	<b>Decision</b> : Accepted <b>Reasons</b> : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	Mod/PF/ TM/1
<b>SD</b> – SD/PF/TM/3 <b>UDP -</b> Paragraph 8.17 <b>IR</b> – Policy Framework paragraphs 8.5 - 8.6 page 109	I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows: - An explanation of the promotion of sustainable transport choices and the reduction in the need to travel, especially by car, should be provided, based on the reintroduction of paragraph 8.18 from the FDDP	<b>Decision</b> : Accepted <b>Reasons</b> : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	Mod/PF/ TM/2
<b>SD</b> – SD/PF/TM/4 <b>UDP -</b> Paragraph 8.25 <b>IR</b> – Policy Framework	I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows: - Paragraph 8.25 – re-instate Objective (4) from the FDDP, which is shown as being deleted within the RDDP	<b>Decision</b> : Accepted <b>Reasons</b> : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	Mod/PF/ TM/3

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
paragraphs 8.7 - 8.9 pp 109 - 110			
<b>SD</b> – SD/PF/TM/5	I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows: -	Decision: Accepted	Mod/PF/ TM/4
UDP – Policy TM1, Paragraph 8.32 and 8.32a Transport Impact Assessment IR – Policy Framework paragraphs 8.11 - 8.18 pp 110-113	<ul> <li>POLICY TM1 - delete and replace with the policy as drafted on page 9 of the proposed changes, dated January 2003.</li> <li>Paragraphs 8.32 and 8.32a – delete and replace with:</li> <li>8.32: Applications for developments likely to have a significant transport impact on the existing transport network should be accompanied by a formal traffic assessment (TA). Whilst PPG13 does not set thresholds for development size for transport assessments, the Council considers that gross floor space will play a major, but not determinative, factor in the decision-making process. The TA is a written statement setting out details of transport conditions both with and without a proposed development. The TA should cover all modes of transport including public transport, cycling and walking. If a planning application is not accompanied by a full environmental statement, then the effect of any additional traffic on air pollution and noise should also be included. The Government is currently preparing good practice advice on the contents of transport assessments to be submitted alongside planning applications and this section will be revised and updated when this guidance becomes available. It would also be prudent to consult local sustainable transport users when assessing the transport impact of a development.</li> <li>Note: The section of the above paragraph in italics should be revised if good practice advice is produced before the UDP is to be published. It should also incorporate a fuller explanation of what consultation would be prudent.</li> <li>8.32a: PPG13 advises that the coverage and detail of the TA should reflect the scale of development and the extent</li> </ul>	Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
IR – Page No.	Recommendation		
	of the transport implications of the proposal. For smaller schemes the TA should simply outline the transport aspects of the application. For major applications the assessment should illustrate accessibility to the site by all modes and the likely modal split of journeys to and from the site. It should also give details of proposed measures to improve access by public transport, walking and cycling, to reduce the need for parking associated with the proposal and to mitigate transport impacts. Paragraph 8.32aa – this should be added to the RDDP as drafted on page 10 of the proposed changes, dated January 2003, but modified to refer to Department for Transport policy and not Highways Agency policy.		
<b>SD</b> – SD/PF/TM/6	I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows: -		Mod/PF/ TM/5
<b>UDP</b> – Paragraphs 8.32b and 8.34	Paragraph 8.32ab – should be added to the plan as drafted on page 11 of the proposed changes, dated January 2003	Decision: Accepted	
IR – Policy Framework Paragraphs 8.19 –	Paragraph 8.32b – delete and replace with the paragraph as drafted on page 11 of the proposed changes, dated January 2003	<b>Reasons:</b> For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	
8.27 pp 114 - 116	Paragraph 8.34 – delete and replace with the paragraph as drafted on page 11 of the proposed changes, dated January 2003, subject to the addition of the words <b>"ESPECIALLY TO THE PRIVATE CAR"</b> after the words "ALTERNATIVE MERTHODS OF TRAVEL".		
<b>SD</b> – SD/PF/TM/7			N/A
<b>UDP</b> – Policy TM3	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted	
<b>IR</b> – Policy Framework Paragraphs 8.28 –		<b>Reasons</b> : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
8.29 pp 116			
SD – SD/PF/TM/8 UDP – Paragraph 8.37A IR – Policy Framework Paragraphs 8.31 – 8.32 pp 117	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	<b>Decision</b> : Accepted <b>Reasons</b> : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A
<b>SD</b> – SD/PF/TM/9 <b>UDP</b> – Policy TM4 New Rail stations <b>IR -</b> Policy Framework paragraphs 8.34 - 8.35, p 118	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP other than that recommended in relation to Policy Omission 42 below follows	<b>Decision:</b> Accepted <b>Reasons:</b> For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A
SD – SD/PF/TM/10 UDP – Policy TM5 Railway Lines IR - Policy Framework paragraphs 8.36 - 8.38, p 118-119	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	<b>Decision:</b> Accepted <b>Reasons:</b> For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
SD – SD/PF/TM/11 UDP – Policy TM6 Bus Priority IR - Policy Framework paragraphs 8.39 - 8.40, page 119	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	<b>Decision:</b> Accepted <b>Reasons:</b> For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A
<b>SD</b> – SD/PF/TM/12 <b>UDP</b> – Policy TM7 Park and Ride <b>IR</b> - Policy Framework paragraphs 8.41 - 14.42, page 120	I recommend that the RDDP be modified by the addition to the reasoned justification of specific reference to Cycle and Ride facilities	<b>Decision:</b> Accepted <b>Reasons:</b> For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	Mod/PF/ TM/7
SD – SD/PF/TM/13 UDP - Policy TM8 New Pedestrian and cycle Links IR - Policy Framework paragraphs 8.44 – 8.46, pages 120-121	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A

SD Ref	Inspector's	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Recommendation		
SD – SD/PF/TM/14 UDP – Policy TM9 Protection of Routes IR - Policy Framework paragraphs 8.47-8.50 pages 121-122	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	<b>Decision:</b> Accepted <b>Reasons:</b> For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A
<ul> <li>SD – SD/PF/TM/15</li> <li>UDP – Policy TM10 National and Local Cycle Network</li> <li>IR - Policy Framework paragraphs 8.51-8.53 page 122</li> </ul>	I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows: The National and Local Cycle Network and its associated links should be shown on the Proposals Map	<b>Decision:</b> Accepted <b>Reasons:</b> For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	Mod/PF/ TM/9
SD – SD/PF/TM/16 UDP – Paragraph 8.59 IR - Policy Framework paragraphs 8.54-8.55 page 123	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	<b>Decision:</b> Accepted <b>Reasons:</b> For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A
<b>SD</b> – SD/PF/TM/17	I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows: Policy TM11 – delete and replace with the policy as drafted on	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	Mod/PF/ TM/10

	UDF – FOIICY Flamework. Chap		MadDat
SD Ref	Inspector's	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
UDP – Case Ref	Recommendation		
IR – Page No.			
UDP – Policy TM11	pages 12 and 13 of the proposed changes, dated January 2003		
and Paragraph 8.60A	Add additional never mere 9 CObe as drafted an never 42 of the		
Parking Standards for	Add additional paragraph 8.60ba as drafted on page 13 of the proposed changes, dated January 2003		
Non Residential			
Developments			
IR - Policy Framework			
paragraphs 8.56-8.59			
pages 123-125			
			N/A
<b>SD</b> – SD/PF/TM/18			
<b>UDP</b> – Paragraph 8.60b	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	Decision: Accepted	
0.00.6			
IR - Policy Framework		Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	
paragraphs 8.60-8.61			
pp 125			
pp :=0			
			N/A
<b>SD</b> – SD/PF/TM/19			
<b>UDP</b> – Policy TM12			
and Paragraph 8.66A			
Parking Standards for		Decision: Accepted	
Residential	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	Provide Frankland and in the basis of the Devide	
Developments		<b>Reasons:</b> For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	
<b>IR</b> - Policy Framework			
paragraphs 8.62-8.64			
pages 125-126			

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
SD – SD/PF/TM/20 UDP – Policy TM13 On Street Parking IR - Policy Framework paragraphs 8.66-8.67 pages 126-127	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A
SD – SD/PF/TM/21 UDP – Policy TM15 Parking Provision within Bradford Central Shopping Area IR - Policy Framework paragraphs 8.68-8.69 page 127	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A
SD – SD/PF/TM/22 UDP – Policy TM18 Parking for People with Disabilities IR - Policy Framework paragraphs 8.70-8.71 pp 128	I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows: Policy TM18 – delete and replace with the policy as drafted on pages 13 of the proposed changes, dated January 2003	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	Mod/PF/ TM/11

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
SD – SD/PF/TM/23 UDP – Paragraph 8.80 IR - Policy Framework paragraphs 8.72-8.73 page 128	I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows: Paragraph 8.80 – delete and replace with the policy as drafted on pages 14 of the proposed changes, dated January 2003	<b>Decision:</b> Accepted <b>Reasons:</b> For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	Mod/PF/ TM/12
<ul> <li>SD – SD/PF/TM/24</li> <li>UDP – Policy TM19 Cycle Parking</li> <li>IR - Policy Framework paragraphs 8.74-8.75 page 129</li> </ul>	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	<b>Decision:</b> Accepted <b>Reasons:</b> For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A
<ul> <li>SD – SD/PF/TM/25</li> <li>UDP – Policy TM21 Rail and Water Freight Facilities</li> <li>IR - Policy Framework paragraphs 8.76-8.77 page 129</li> </ul>	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	<b>Decision:</b> Accepted <b>Reasons:</b> For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A
<b>SD</b> – SD/PF/TM/26 <b>UDP</b> - POM 12	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A

SD Ref	Inspector's	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Recommendation		
General Aviation <b>IR -</b> Policy Framework paragraphs 8.78 – 8.79, page 130			
SD – SD/PF/TM/27 UDP - POM 36 Traffic Calming and 20 MPH Zones IR - Policy Framework paragraphs 8.80 – 8.81, pages 130-131	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A
SD – SD/PF/TM/28 UDP - POM 38 Promotion of Walking IR - Policy Framework paragraphs 8.82 – 8.83, page 131	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	<b>Decision:</b> Accepted <b>Reasons:</b> For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A
<b>SD</b> – SD/PF/TM/29 <b>UDP</b> - POM 40 Safe Routes to School	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	<b>Decision:</b> Accepted <b>Reasons:</b> For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
<b>IR -</b> Policy Framework paragraphs 8.85 – 8.86, page 132			
<b>SD</b> – SD/PF/TM/30 <b>UDP</b> - POM 41 Bicycle and Car Hire Facilities <b>IR -</b> Policy Framework paragraphs 8.87 – 8.88, pages 132-133	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A
SD – SD/PF/TM/31 UDP - POM 42 Integration of bus and train services in Shipley IR - Policy Framework paragraphs 8.89 – 8.91, pages 133-134	I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows: Paragraph 8.42 – the following additional sentence should be added <b>"Within Shipley Town Centre, improvements are being</b> <b>pursued to integrate bus and rail travel more closely".</b>	<b>Decision:</b> Accepted <b>Reasons:</b> For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	Mod/PF/ TM/6
<b>SD</b> – SD/PF/TM/32 <b>UDP</b> - POM 43 Promotion of Public Transport Information	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	<b>Decision:</b> Accepted <b>Reasons:</b> For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
IR – Page No.			
IR - Policy Framework paragraphs 8.92 – 8.93, pp 134			
SD – SD/PF/TM/33 UDP - POM 44 Promotion of Local Feeder Bus Networks IR - Policy Framework paragraphs 8.94 – 8.95, pages 134-135	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A
SD – SD/PF/TM/34 UDP - POM 45 Traffic Demand Management IR - Policy Framework paragraphs 8.96 – 8.97, page 135	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A
SD – SD/PF/TM/35 UDP - POM 47 Integration of development to reduce travel IR - Policy Framework	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	<b>Decision:</b> Accepted <b>Reasons:</b> For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
paragraphs 8.98 – 8.99, page 136			
<b>SD</b> – SD/PF/TM/36 <b>UDP</b> - POM 48 Sustainability and Transport <b>IR -</b> Policy Framework paragraphs 8.100- 8.101, pp 136-137	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	<b>Decision:</b> Accepted <b>Reasons:</b> For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A
<b>SD</b> – SD/PF/TM/37 <b>UDP</b> - POM 60 Maximum car Parking Standards for Dwellings <b>IR -</b> Policy Framework paragraphs 8.102 – 8.103, pp 134	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	<b>Decision:</b> Accepted <b>Reasons:</b> For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A
SD– SD/PF/TM/38 UDP - POM 68 integration of bus and rail services at Bingley IR - Policy Framework paragraphs 8.104 – 8.105, pp 137	See recommendation in relation to Policy S/TM20.3	<b>Decision:</b> Accepted <b>Reasons:</b> For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	